

United States Patent and Trademark Office



V
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,489 01/16/2001		01/16/2001	Hadi Abdul-Ridha	99CON103P-DIV1 5870	
25700	7590	10/17/2002		. <i>j</i>	
FARJAMI			EXAMINER		
16148 SAND CANYON IRVINE, CA 92618				HA, NGUYEN T	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
es de la companya de				2831	
				DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/761,489	ABDUL-RIDHA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nguyen T Ha	2831				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/31	<u>//2002</u> .	/				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 7-11 and 21-47 is/are pending in the	application.	/ 				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	<i>i</i>				
5)							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected.		,				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claims are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected t	o by the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , , ,				
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
* 9	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
/∟	, is in august to made of a diam for dollie	The priority and of orology 11	-(-/-				
	u .						
Attachment	•	, 					
16) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) Application/Control Number: 09/761,489

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The applicant's arguments filed on 7/31/2002 are persuasive in overcoming the rejection of record. Therefore, the previous office action is hereby withdrawn. However, in view of the new prior art the examiner decides to make another rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 7 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeBoer et al (6,146,959) in view of Takekawa et al (4,714,952).

Regarding claim 7, DeBoer et al discloses a structure (figures 2-5) comprising a first capacitor electrode (34), a second capacitor electrode (50), a dielectric (40).

Application/Control Number: 09/761,489

Art Unit: 2831

DeBoer et al lacks the dielectric layer comprising tantalum nitride. However, Takekawa teaches a dielectric layer comprising tantalum nitride (column 18 lines 36-38). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the DeBore structure as taught by Takekawa to have the dielectric layer comprising tantalum nitride in order to improve high heating temperature for the capacitor and prevent a damage.

Regarding claims 10&11, the limitations of the structure wherein the dielectric comprising ceramic tantalum nitride is fabricated using a method comprising the steps of utilizing an ionized metal plasma tool for creating a plasma containing tantalum ions, said plasma being sustained by a mixture of gases containing nitrogen; depositing said dielectric comprising ceramic tantalum nitride on the first capacitor electrode wherein a percentage of nitrogen partial flow in the mixture of gases is adjusted so as to cause a nitrogen content in the dielectric comprising ceramic tantalum nitride to be at least 30% and 60% have been consider, however, the presence of process limitations in product claims, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over the prior art, cannot impart patntability to the product. *In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965)*.

5. Claims 8&9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeBoer et al (6,146,959) in view of Takekawa et al (4,714,952) as applied in claim 7 and further in view of Catala et al (5,170,318).

Regarding claims 8&9, the teaching of DeBoer et al and Takekawa includes all the limitations discussed above with respect to claim 7, except for the first capacitor electrode and second capacitor electrode are made of copper. However, Catala et al

Art Unit: 2831

teaches the first and second electrodes are made of copper (column 6 lines 60-61). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify DeBoer and Takekawa structure as taught by Catala to have the first and second electrodes are made of copper because copper has low adhesion to tantalum nitride, therefore the invention used the copper for the electrodes in order to improve the conductivity for the capacitors.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 21-47 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claims 21-33, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitations of the structure capacitor comprising a first barrier layer over the bottom copper interconnect metal segment, a copper seed layer over the first barrier layer, a dielectric comprising tantalum nitride over copper seed layer, and a second barrier layer over the dielectric.

With respect to claims 34-47, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of the capacitor comprising a first barrier layer over the bottom interconnect metal segment, a seed layer over the first barrier layer, a dielectric over the seed layer, a second barrier layer over the dielectric and a second capacitor electrode comprising a top interconnect metal segment, wherein the bottom interconnect metal segment, the first barrier layer, the seed layer, the dielectric, the second layer and the top interconnect metal segment are fabricated in a single tool.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,489

Art Unit: 2831

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T Ha whose telephone number is 703-308-6023. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 703-308-3682. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3432 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

NH 10/8/2002 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5